Pension costs are consuming almost 50% more state education funding today than they were two decades ago

Teacher retirement systems across the country have seen costs rise over the past two decades, driven largely by growth in pension debt (known as unfunded liabilities). The costs of paying down these shortfalls in teacher pension funds have been steadily cutting into the spending on key education priorities. The effects are felt particularly hard in high-need districts which have fewer local resources to draw on to fill in the gaps when education costs rise, creating less funding for teacher salaries and programs aimed at improving academic and other outcomes.

However, this squeeze has not been felt uniformly across all states, as revenue and education spending experiences have varied. As a result, there are notable differences in the degrees of crowd out that pension debt costs have had on education spending when looking from state-to-state.

This profile provides detailed analysis for your state, supplementing the analysis highlighted in our primary research on Hidden Education Funding Cuts in America. The state profile examines three key elements:

- **State Education Spending:** the state’s “own-source” K–12 spending for 2001–2018, both in the aggregate and on a per student basis. This excludes federal funding (which is typically not used to pay pension costs) and local revenues (which also vary as a funding source from state-to-state);

- **Pension Funding Status:** the pension system’s unfunded actuarially accrued liabilities (UAAL) and actuarially determined employer contributions (ADEC) for 2001–2018;

- **Education Crowd Out:** the shares of a state’s own-source K–12 spending consumed for the pension contributions paid for 2001–2018.

For each element identified above analyses are from a state budgeting perspective, excluding both federal and local funding. We offer illustrations of trends over time, and a brief analysis of those trends. The last page includes a quick glossary of terms and link to the methodology for all of the data provided.

It is important to note that all charts provide figures adjusted for inflation except for displays of state own-source K–12 spending. This allows for a reference of how much of the increase in nominal education spending is just driven by inflation as opposed to the expansion of education budgets.
The Treasure State is home to more than 1 million citizens, and nearly 150,000 primary and secondary school students. In 2018, the state’s total expenditures neared $7 billion — funds for schools, transportation, public safety, and other public services. Out of that spending, the state’s own-source expenditures — defined as all state funding that does not draw on federal or local revenue — totaled $4.1 billion.

Montana teachers are enrolled in a guaranteed income plan, known as a defined benefit pension, administered by the Montana Teachers’ Retirement System (TRS). TRS manages retirement benefits for roughly 51,000 active and retired teachers.

**EDUCATION SPENDING**

In 2018, Montana’s state distributed K–12 expenditures totaled $1.2 billion. Out of that total, $1 billion came from state own-source funding while the remaining $183 million was from federal grants and other education programs. (Local sources provided additional funding.)

As Figure MT1 illustrates, state spending on primary and secondary education in Montana has increased significantly since 2001 — growing by $453 million in nominal dollars; however, it increased moderately after adjusting for inflation, growing by only $239 million. On a dollars per student basis, spending increased 36.5% since 2001 — growing from $4,761 to $6,498 (inflation adjusted).
PENSION FUNDING STATUS

In 2001, TRS was facing $487 million in pension debt. However, over the past 17 years a combination of underperforming investments coupled with changing demographics have caused the unfunded liability for TRS to explode — reaching $1.9 billion in 2018. Figure MT2 shows the change in the unfunded liabilities and Figure MT3 illustrates the change in what state actuaries have recommended as contributions from government employers.

Figure MT2: TRS’s pension debt has nearly quadrupled since 2001.

![Graph showing the change in unfunded liabilities from 2001 to 2018, with a marked increase reaching $1.9 billion in 2018.]

Figure MT3: To address growing pension debt the amount actuaries recommend the state and school districts should contribute to TRS has nearly doubled.
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TRS Unfunded Liabilities (Actuarial Value), 2001–2018

TRS Actuarially Determined Employer Contributions, 2001–2018
There are a number of states across the country that do not always ensure that the ADEC is paid in full to the pension fund each year. Unfortunately, Montana is one of those states, failing to pay the full pension bill from 2011 through 2014, shown in Figure MT4. As a result, the actual contributions paid into TRS using education funds have been less than if the ADEC trend displayed in Figure MT3 was paid in full, but the actual contributions paid to TRS have still nearly doubled from $72.9 million in 2001 to $139.2 million in 2018.

Figure MT4: Montana did not pay its full actuarial bill to TRS for several years, shorting the plan by $47 million since 2001.

Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution Compared to Actual Contributions Paid to TRS, 2001–2018

Paying the full required pension bill each year is the bare minimum for ensuring a pension system is fully funded. Best practice would be for Montana to adopt a policy of ensuring the ADEC is paid every year. However, from the perspective of education funding, any increase in pension costs will be viewed negatively if it is shrinking the dollars available for teacher salaries and serving kids. If the ADEC had been paid every year without some adjustment to expand Montana’s education funding, then the state could have suffered an even larger hidden cut than we show in the final chart on the next page.
PENSION COSTS CROWDING OUT K–12 SPENDING

The growing costs of funding TRS have soaked up an increasing share of Montana’s education spending. This is especially important for teachers, as the growth in TRS’s costs outpaced the growth in state own-source K–12 spending. In fact, TRS’s contributions reported as a share of K–12 spending increased from 10% in 2001 to 14.4% in 2018.

Figure MT5: The hidden cut to Montana’s state education funding is serious. TRS contributions are consuming 44% more state K–12 funding in 2018 than 2001.

As Figure MT2 showed, TRS’s unfunded liabilities have been relatively stable since 2012, but still are nearly quadruple the pension debt level in 2001. To help stem the growth of this pension debt, the state made a one-time $25 million supplemental contribution in 2014 to try and catch up on previously missed contributions to TRS. The extra infusion of cash to TRS helped to reduce the unfunded liabilities and has helped keep the ADEC from rising in the years since. That in turn has helped stabilize the hidden education funding cuts displayed above in Figure MT5.

This does not mean, though, that all is well. The total size of the hidden education funding cut is still almost 50% larger in 2018 than in 2001. Unfunded liabilities increased in 2018 and could lead to larger ADECs going forward. Meanwhile K–12 spending from the state declined in 2018.

The simple reality is that over the past 18-years the actual amounts paid from employers to TRS have grown significantly faster than the state’s own-source education spending. Unless there is a change that reduces TRS’s costs and/or adjusts the state’s education funding to fully account for pension contributions, Montana’s education funding will continue to suffer this hidden cut in dollars intended for serving the state’s children.

An even more concrete way to understand how changes in pension debt and pension costs have influenced education resources is to think about them relative to total student enrollment. Table MT1 shows the UAAL and actual pension contributions on a per student basis compared against state education spending. Breaking the numbers down this way shows that growth in unfunded pension liabilities and related pension contributions have outpaced per student spending by the state. Montana increased funding by roughly $1,700 per student, but, after accounting for pension costs, the state only spent $1,400 more per student in 2018 than 2001.
Table MT1: State education spending per student increased roughly $1,700, but pension debt and contributions have grown at a faster rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total State K–12 Spending Per Student</th>
<th>Per Student Share of Pension Debt</th>
<th>Pension Debt as % of Per Student Spending</th>
<th>Employer Pension Cost Per Student</th>
<th>Per Student Spending Minus Pension Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$4,761</td>
<td>$3,180</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
<td>$476</td>
<td>$4,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$5,709</td>
<td>$3,545</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
<td>$476</td>
<td>$5,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$5,269</td>
<td>$5,202</td>
<td>98.7%</td>
<td>$486</td>
<td>$4,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$5,635</td>
<td>$6,857</td>
<td>121.7%</td>
<td>$505</td>
<td>$5,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$5,619</td>
<td>$8,033</td>
<td>143.0%</td>
<td>$514</td>
<td>$5,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$5,816</td>
<td>$7,470</td>
<td>128.4%</td>
<td>$510</td>
<td>$5,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$6,419</td>
<td>$6,592</td>
<td>102.7%</td>
<td>$537</td>
<td>$5,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$6,864</td>
<td>$6,576</td>
<td>95.8%</td>
<td>$674</td>
<td>$6,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$6,689</td>
<td>$11,686</td>
<td>174.7%</td>
<td>$671</td>
<td>$6,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$6,413</td>
<td>$12,607</td>
<td>196.6%</td>
<td>$722</td>
<td>$5,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$6,099</td>
<td>$14,170</td>
<td>232.3%</td>
<td>$714</td>
<td>$5,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$6,376</td>
<td>$15,019</td>
<td>235.5%</td>
<td>$683</td>
<td>$5,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$6,465</td>
<td>$11,387</td>
<td>176.1%</td>
<td>$684</td>
<td>$5,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$5,917</td>
<td>$13,150</td>
<td>222.3%</td>
<td>$904</td>
<td>$5,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$7,084</td>
<td>$12,711</td>
<td>179.4%</td>
<td>$954</td>
<td>$6,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$6,973</td>
<td>$12,041</td>
<td>172.7%</td>
<td>$947</td>
<td>$6,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$6,940</td>
<td>$11,518</td>
<td>166.0%</td>
<td>$944</td>
<td>$5,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$6,498</td>
<td>$12,819</td>
<td>197.3%</td>
<td>$934</td>
<td>$5,564</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values are inflation adjusted dollars spent per student to allow for comparison of spending over time. Figures reflect the K–12 employer portion of liabilities and employer contributions.

Per Student Share of TRS Unfunded Liabilities and Actual K–12 Employer Contributions, 2001–2018
ABOUT THIS PROJECT

The growing cost of unfunded pension promises is having direct and immediate influence on the ability of local school districts to serve children. To show how hidden education funding cuts work, we built a dataset of state-level K–12 education spending and combined it with contribution rate data for state pension plans where teachers are participants. Merging these two data types shows how the rate of change in teacher pension costs is growing much faster than education budgets nationally.

To review data at the national level, visit Equable.org/hiddenfundingcuts and check out: “Hidden Education Funding Cuts: How Growing Teacher Pension Debt Payments Are Eating into K–12 Education Budgets.” To learn more about our data and how we calculate a state’s hidden education funding cut, check out the methodology.

However, the hidden funding cuts to education have not been felt uniformly across all states, as revenue and education spending experiences have varied. For some states, slow growth in K–12 spending has combined with the explosion in pension debt to create a significant threat, potentially crowding other items out of the education budget. In California, for example, a report by Pivot Learning found that rising pension contributions, driven by efforts to repay pension debt, have led to deferred maintenance of schools, larger class sizes, reduction or elimination of after-school programs, and a reduction in educational equity.

But, for other states, K–12 spending itself has grown significantly, even after accounting for inflation, and this has offset part of, or most of, the state’s increase in pension costs (though in these cases, it is likely that policymakers were not increasing K–12 spending simply to offset the growth in pension costs). And a few states have even managed to buck the trend entirely. While this profile details the experience of an individual state, we encourage you to explore the profiles of other states to see how their trends compare. A collection of profiles for all 50 states and Washington, DC can be found here.
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QUICK GLOSSARY

**Actuarially Determined Employer Contributions (ADEC):** This is the money that actuaries calculate should be paid each year by the state and local employers to cover pension benefits earned plus to pay down any pension debt (after accounting for any employee contributions).

**Unfunded Liability (UAAL):** This is the shortfall in money that a pension fund should have on hand to pay all future promised benefits. Think of this as pension debt owed to retirement systems to pay promised pension benefits. In technical terms, this refers to the Unfunded Actuarially Accrued Liability.

**Own-Source K–12 Spending:** This is the money spent on primary education using state resources only, excluding any federal funding, local resources, or expenditures on higher education.