PROPOSED LEGISLATION: ALASKA HB78 (2025)

ALASKA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM - GENERAL STATE/LOCAL CLASS



The proposed plan is a Defined Benefit Plan with normal retirement at 55 (25-year-old entrant with 30 years of service)

HOW WOULD THE PROPOSED LEGISLATION CHANGE THE PROJECTED VALUE OF BENEFITS OR REPLACEMENT RATES FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF WORKERS COVERED BY THE RETIREMENT PLAN?

	SHORT-TERM WORKERS <10 Years of service		MEDIUM-TERM WORKERS 10-20 years of service		FULL-CAREER WORKERS >20 years of service		
SHARE OF ACTIVE PLAN PARTICIPANTS	74%		11%		15%		
AVERAGE RETIREMENT BENEFIT PROVIDED BY THE PLAN							
	ESTIMATED ANNUAL BENEFIT	NORMALIZED REPLACEMENT RATE	ESTIMATED ANNUAL BENEFIT	NORMALIZED REPLACEMENT RATE	ESTIMATED ANNUAL BENEFIT	NORMALIZED REPLACEMENT RATE	
CURRENT PLAN	\$6,013	8.3%	\$15,071	20.8%	\$55,214	76.3%	
PROPOSED CHANGES	\$1,884	2.6%	\$7,913	11.1%	\$33,115	46.3%	
TOTAL VALUE OF BENEFIT EARNED (WITHDRAWN OR TAKEN AS A PENSION)							
CURRENT PLAN	\$102,857		\$257,796		\$944,469		
PROPOSED CHANGES	\$49,468		\$207,732		\$869,341		
NET CHANGE	-\$53,390 DECREASE		-\$50,064 DECREASE		-\$75,128 DECREASE		
RSR RETIREMENT BENEFITS SCORE (BASED ON 70% REPLACEMENT RATE TARGET)							
CURRENT PLAN	15.5 out of 30		15.7 out of 30		30 out of 30		
PROPOSED CHANGES	12.1 out of 30		14.6 out of 30		20.5 out of 30		
CHANGE IN SCORE	-3.4 points		-1.1 points DECREASE		-9.5 points DECREASE		

Notes: Benefit figures are in current dollars. The purchasing power of benefits earned may decline significantly for those who leave service years or decades before they are eligible to withdraw benefits. Estimated benefit values are based on a 25-year-old entrant earning an average starting salary and using the plan's salary growth assumptions. "Total Value" is equal to the net present value of benefits using the plan's reported assumptions from their most recent actuarial valuation. For more information about the Estimated Annual Benefit, Normalized Replacement Rate, and why those values may differ from your pension benefit calculator, please see our methodology article: https://equable.org/methodology-replacement-rates/

EQUABLE'S ASSESSMENT

HB78 would introduce a new defined benefit pension for Alaska PERS as an option for members hired after July 1, 2025. This change would be substantial as AK PERS has offered only a defined contribution plan since 2006. Under the bill the current DC plan will remain open and the default retirement plan for new PERS general state and local plan members. However, new members and existing PERS DC plan members would have the option to choose the new pension as their desired benefit.

Our overall assessment is that the benefits the new pension from HB78 would offer are moderately worse than those currently available with the existing defined contribution plan.

While the numbers behind our assessment are presented above, the new pension proposed in HB78, like all retirement reforms, offers a series of trade-offs that should be taken into consideration.

Positive Elements of the Proposed Changes:

- The new proposed pension includes many of the best practices in funding policy, including risk sharing policies that both increase employer and employee contributions if the plan falls below 90% funded and reduce contributions if funding improves.
- Pension plans offer guaranteed income, which is preferred by some public employees even if it means the total benefit values would be less than could be earned through a DC plan.
- While the estimated benefits for the new pension are worse than the existing defined contribution plan (see prior page), those estimates operate on the assumption that markets remain stable, and the DC plan earns a consistent return. By contrast, the proposed pension would provide retirement income that is stable, predictable, and guaranteed.
- The variable multiplier for the proposed pension increases the longer a member is in the system, rewarding those who stay in Alaska and continue to remain in public service. In theory, this could support retention, which is a primary objective of lawmakers considering this plan.
- By providing a choice between the existing DC plan and the new proposed pension, Alaska PERS would be giving its members the opportunity to choose which benefit best suits their needs both those who prefer the portability of a DC plan and those that want the guarantees that come with a pension.

Negative Elements of the Proposed Changes:

- While the funding policies use many best practices, the proposed pension does NOT include changes to the existing actuarial assumptions for PERS, which increases the chance of unfunded liabilities accruing and a contribution rate increase.
- The value of the proposed pension benefit is estimated to be significantly less for every stage of a general state or local employee's career. The total estimated value of the benefit is roughly \$50,000 less for Short-Term and Medium-Term Workers, and roughly \$75,000 less for a Full-Career Worker.
- The pension would offer reduced portability when compared to the current defined contribution plan. For those who might leave PERS without working a full career, this means the new plan might not be right for them.
- Allowing existing members to buy out of their DC plans into a pension plan could result in unfunded liabilities (and an increase in contribution rates), if the value of benefits being purchased is not calculated using appropriate actuarial assumptions.

ABOUT THE RETIREMENT SECURITY REPORT (RSR)

The RSR is a universe of in-depth research, interactive tools and other resources to shed light on the quality and value of retirement benefits for all public workers. All RSR projects are based on data from our comprehensive benefit database of retirement plans offered to public workers and use an open-source scoring methodology that accounts for three primary criteria: Eligibility, Income Adequacy (based on a 70% pre-retirement income replacement rate), and Flexibility & Mobility.

ABOUT EQUABLE INSTITUTE


Equable is a bipartisan non-profit that works with public retirement system stakeholders to solve complex pension funding challenges with data-driven solutions. We exist to support public sector workers in understanding how their retirement systems can be improved, and to help state and local governments find ways to both fix threats to municipal finance stability and ensure the retirement security of all public servants.

BACKGROUND DETAILS

KEY RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT PROVISIONS

	CURRENT DC PLAN	PROPOSED PENSION PLAN		
MULTIPLIER	N/A	2.0% for the first 10 YOS, 2.25% for the next 10 years, 2.5% for each year beyond 20.		
FINAL AVERAGE SALARY	N/A	Calculated as the average of the highest 5- years of a member's salary		
NORMAL RETIREMENT ELIGIBILITY	N/A	Age 60 or 30 completed years of service		
VESTING	New members must work for 5 years to be fully vested. They begin vesting employer contributions after 3 years.	5 years		
MEMBER CONTRIBUTION RATE	Members contribute 8.0% of their salary toward their benefits.	Members contribute 8.0% but that can increase up to 14.0% if the plan is under 90% funded.		
REFUNDING POLICY	Members are entitled to their contributions and any interest they have earned. They receive 25% of employer contributions starting at 3 YOS, 50% after 4 YOS, and 100% after 5 YOS.	Members that withdraw are entitled to their contributions with interest.		
CREDITING RATES	N/A	The crediting interest is set by the PERS board. Current crediting rate is 4.5%		
COST-OF-LIVING ADJUSTMENTS	None	The Alaska Cost of Living Adjustment is eliminated, but there are options for post- retirement pension adjustments if the plan is above 90% funded.		

TOTAL RETIREMENT BENEFIT COMPARISON FOR CURRENT PLAN V. PROPOSED CHANGES



This RSR Scorecard has a companion for members in the AK PERS Public Safety class of benefits. Scores vary because the underlying benefit provisions for members of the General and Public Safety classes of AK PERS are substantially different. A similar RSR Scorecard for AK TRS is also available.